DATE: 28-29 OCTOBER 2014



The school leadership team has established and is driving a strong improvement agenda for the school, grounded in evidence from research and practice, and couched in terms of improvements in measurable student outcomes, especially in literacy, numeracy and science. Explicit and clear school-wide targets for improvement have been set and communicated, with accompanying timelines.

The principal and other school leaders have developed and are driving an explicit and detailed local school improvement agenda. This agenda is couched in terms of specific improvements sought in student performances, is aligned with state-wide improvement priorities and

Outstanding

The school improvement agenda has been effective in focusing, and to some extent narrowing and sharpening, the whole school's attention on core learning priorities.

includes clear targets with

accompanying timelines,

which are rigorously actioned.

There is a strong and optimistic commitment by all staff to the school improvement strategy and a clear belief that further improvement is possible. Teachers take responsibility for the changes in their practice required to achieve school targets and are using data on a regular basis to monitor the effectiveness of their own efforts to meet those targets.

The school has developed an agenda for improvement and school leaders can describe the improvements they wish to see in student behaviours and outcomes. This agenda is communicated in staff meetings, school newsletters, parent - teacher meetings and on the school website using a variety of formats to suit local needs.

High

The principal and other school leaders have analysed school performance data over a number of years and are aware of trends in student achievement levels. Targets for improvement are clear and accompanied by timelines.

The school leadership team is clearly committed to finding ways to improve on current student outcomes. This is reflected in an eagerness to learn from research evidence, international experience and from other schools that have achieved significant improvements.

There is evidence of a schoolwide commitment to every student's success and staff of the school tells stories of significant student improvement. The principal and other school leaders articulate a shared commitment to improvement, but limited attention is given to specifying detail or to developing a school-wide approach (eg, plans for improvement may lack coherence, be short term or without a whole-school focus). Plans for improvement do not appear to have been clearly communicated, widely implemented or to have impacted significantly on teachers' day-to-day work. Targets for improvement are

Medium

The school's focus on data is driven more by external requirements (eg, National Assessment Program – Numeracy and Literacy (NAPLAN)) than by an internal desire for good information to guide school decision making and to monitor progress.

accompanied by timelines).

not specific (eg, not

Although there is an expressed commitment to improvement, this is not reflected in a high level of enthusiasm for personal change on the part of staff.

The communication of performance data to the school community tends to be sporadic and/or is limited only to information that the school is required to report.

There is no obvious plan for improving on current achievement levels. The principal appears to be more focused on day-to-day operational matters than on analysing and understanding school data, setting targets for whole-school improvement or communicating an improvement agenda to the school community.

Low

Minimal attention is paid to data (eg, NAPLAN results) and there is very limited communication of school results or of intentions for improvement to the wider school community.

Expectations for significant school improvement are low and staff tends to 'explain' current achievement levels in terms of students' socioeconomic backgrounds and/or geographical location. There is little evidence that the staff of the school has a shared commitment to improving outcomes for every student, and this appears to be contributing to a culture of underperformance.

There is little evidence that the school is looking to external sources to identify evidence based strategies for improvement.



DATE: 28-29 OCTOBER 2014



A high priority is given to the school-wide analysis and discussion of systematically collected data on student outcomes, including academic, attendance and behavioural outcomes. Data analyses consider overall school performance as well as the performances of students from identified priority groups; evidence of improvement/regression over time; performances in comparison with similar schools; and, in the case of data from tests such as NAPLAN, measures of growth across the years of school.

Outstanding The principal and other school leaders clearly articulate their belief that reliable data on student outcomes are crucial to the school's improvement agenda. The school has established and is implementing a systematic plan for the collection.

established and is implementing a systematic plan for the collection, analysis and use of student achievement data. Test data in literacy, numeracy and science are key elements of this plan.

Data are used throughout the school to identify gaps in student learning, to monitor improvement over time and to monitor growth across the years of school. A high priority has been given to professional development aimed at building teachers' and leaders' data literacy skills. Staff conversations and language reflect a sophisticated understanding of data concepts (eg, value-added; growth; improvement; statistical significance).

Teachers are given test data (including NAPLAN) for their classes electronically and are provided with, and use, software (eg, *Excel*) to analyse, display and communicate data on individual and class performances and progress, including comparisons of preand post-test results.

Teachers routinely use objective data on student achievement as evidence of successful teaching.

There is evidence that the principal and other school leaders view reliable, timely student data as essential to their effective leadership of the school. There is a documented school plan and timetable for the annual collection of student outcome data

High

One or more members of staff have been assigned responsibility for implementing the annual plan, analysing the full range of school data, and summarising, displaying and communicating student outcome data for the school. The school has ensured that appropriate software is available and that the assigned staff have been trained to undertake data analyses (eg, training in the use of the Corporate Data Warehouse, OneSchool).

Time is set aside (eg, on Pupil Free Days and in staff meetings) for the discussion of data and the implications of data for school policies and classroom practices. These discussions occur at wholeschool and team levels. The school can illustrate through case studies, meeting minutes and project plans how data have been used to identify priorities, take action and monitor progress.

School leaders pay close attention to data provided to

them about the performance of the school (eg, NAPLAN results; Year 12 results) and identify areas in which the school is performing relatively poorly or well.

Medium

Tests (eg, commercially available reading tests) may be used by some teachers, but generally are not used as part of a whole-school assessment strategy.

An ad hoc approach exists to building staff skills in the analysis, interpretation and use of classroom data.

Software may be used for the analysis of school results, including the performances of priority groups, but analyses generally do not extend to studies of improvement or growth.

School data are presented to staff in meetings, but presentations tend to be 'for information' rather than a trigger for in-depth discussions of teaching practices and school processes.

Information about the school's performance is communicated to the school community, but may lack explanation or analysis.

There is very little evidence of school leaders' practical use of school-wide student outcome data. There is either no annual data collection plan for the school or the plan is being implemented in a minimalist fashion. The school makes little or no use of tests beyond those that the school is required to use.

Low

Teachers do not systematically analyse test and other data for their classes and teachers make little use of data to reflect on their teaching. The school is unable to demonstrate how data have been used in meetings to analyse and discuss current achievement levels and strategies for improvement.



DATE: 28-29 OCTOBER 2014



The school is driven by a deep belief that every student is capable of successful learning. A high priority is given to building and maintaining positive and caring relationships between staff, students and parents. There is a strong collegial culture of mutual trust and support among teachers and school leaders. The school works to maintain a learning environment that is safe, respectful, tolerant, inclusive and that promotes intellectual rigour.

High **Outstanding** Medium Low The school ethos is built The 'tone' of the school Classrooms are generally Behavioural problems, around high expectations and reflects a school-wide orderly, although some are disengagement and nona commitment to academic commitment to purposeful, more so than others. attendance are issues for a excellence. There is an successful learning. Nonattendance is an issue for significant proportion of expectation that every student Classrooms are calm but a small minority of students. students. In a number of will learn and achieve positive busy and interruptions to However, many other classrooms students are teaching time are kept to a outcomes. Classrooms are students appear to be clearly not engaged in calm but busy and minimum. There are very few minimally engaged in productive learning activities. interruptions to teaching time obvious behavioural problems productive learning activities. are kept to a minimum. There and behaviour management The school may have a takes up very little, if any, The school effectively Responsible Behaviour Plan are no obvious behavioural time of school leaders and implements its Responsible problems and staff morale is for Students but this appears high. There is a happy, classroom teachers. Behaviour Plan for Students, to have had little impact on optimistic feel to the school. for example, by ensuring that student behaviour. Much of disruptive behaviour, bullying There is a strong focus on the time of school leaders and High levels of trust are quality learning and on the and harassment are dealt teachers is taken up dealing apparent across the school creation of a culture in which with promptly. The school has with inappropriate behaviour. community. Interactions are all students are expected to clear expectations for how Interactions between parents, focused on the learning and learn successfully, in their students should behave and staff and students are not interact with one another, and always productive and wellbeing of students and on own ways and at their own continually improving the pace. Individual talents are in the main, relationships are respectful. school's ability to meet the valued. Class 'busy work' is caring and respectful. Some Some teachers appear to needs of all students. kept to a minimum, and an staff time is taken up dealing Parents, school leaders and with behaviour problems. attempt is made to ensure work in isolation from that all students are engaged colleagues. Staff morale is teachers work together in a mutually supportive way and in challenging, meaningful Most parents take an obvious low and staff turnover is high. learning. interest in their children's there is a strong sense of belonging and pride in the school. learning. Engagement is Respectful and caring primarily through regularly relationships are reflected in scheduled parent-teacher A strong collegial culture has the ways in which staff. interviews. been established. Teachers students and parents interact have an overt and shared and in the language they use Staff morale is satisfactory. commitment to the in both formal and informal improvement of teaching, and settings. openness to critique by colleagues. This is reflected Parents are encouraged to take a genuine and close in the fact that teachers regularly invite leaders and interest in the work of the colleagues to visit their school and are welcomed as classrooms to observe their partners in their children's teaching. learning. There are agreed guidelines on such matters as greeting visitors, taking messages, and responding to queries promptly and respectfully. Staff morale is generally high.

DATE: 28-29 OCTOBER 2014



Targeted Use of School Resources

The school applies its resources (staff time, expertise, funds, facilities, materials) in a targeted manner to meet the learning needs of all students. It has school-wide policies, practices and programs in place to assist in identifying and addressing student needs. Flexible structures and processes enable the school to respond appropriately to the needs of individual learners.

Outstanding	High	Medium	Low
The principal and other school leaders have given a very high priority to understanding and addressing the learning needs of all students in the school. This is reflected in the implementation of systematic strategies for identifying student needs and the development of creative school-wide solutions for addressing those needs. A school-wide process has been established for identifying specific student learning needs. This process includes systematic testing to establish learning gaps and special needs. Records of individual student needs, achievements and progress are maintained centrally and shared across year levels. A range of initiatives (eg, across-class and across-grade groupings for literacy and numeracy; the sharing of specialist teachers with neighbouring schools) is being implemented to make more effective use of available resources to enhance teaching and learning. The school deploys staff in ways that make best use of their expertise (e.g., specialist reading/science teachers).	The principal and other school leaders have introduced programs and strategies to identify and address the needs of students in the school and are sourcing and applying available resources to meet those needs. The school has developed processes (eg, systematic testing) for identifying student learning needs, although there may not always be good central records of student achievement and progress. Programs to meet individual learning needs (eg, programs for gifted students, students with learning difficulties, students for whom English is a second language, Indigenous students, and refugees) are prioritised, where possible, in the school budget. Physical spaces and technology are used effectively to maximise student learning. Learning spaces are organised for whole group work, small group work and individual work.	The school uses its human and physical resources to address the needs of students, although this may not be preceded by a systematic analysis of those needs. Specialist diagnostic testing is organised for a small number of students with special needs, but teachers do not routinely administer tests to better understand specific learning difficulties (eg, problems in learning to read) or individual learning needs (eg, LBOTE, gifted). There are very few school-wide programs or policies designed to address the learning needs of particular student groups (eg, gifted students, students with disabilities, students for whom English is a second language). School leaders encourage teachers to address individual learning needs in classrooms, but there are very few agreed school wide strategies for doing this. Physical learning spaces are used creatively and technology is accessible to the majority of staff and students.	The improvement of student outcomes does not appear to be the driving consideration in the allocation of school resources (eg, the use of discretionary school funds). There is very little, if any, systematic testing of students to identify individual learning needs. The school does not always make best use of available staff expertise. School leaders have developed very few, if any, school-wide policies or programs to address individual needs, which are left to classroom teachers. School learning spaces tend to be used traditionally, with limited flexibility to support different kinds of learners and learning.



DATE: 28-29 OCTOBER 2014



The school has found ways to build a professional team of highly able teachers including teachers that take an active leadership role beyond the classroom. Strong procedures are in place to encourage a school-wide, shared responsibility for student learning and success, and to encourage the development of a culture of continuous professional improvement that includes classroom-based learning, mentoring and coaching arrangements.

Outstanding	High	Medium	Low
The teaching staff of the school are experts in the subjects they teach and have very high levels of pedagogical knowledge and skill, including expert knowledge of evidence based teaching strategies.	There is evidence that the principal and other school leaders see the development of staff into an expert and coherent school-wide teaching team as central to improving outcomes for all students.	The school undertakes professional learning activities, although these may not always focus on the development of knowledge and skills required to improve student learning and there may not be a coherent, documented learning plan.	The development of a professional school-wide team does not appear to be a driving consideration of the principal or other school leaders (eg, no reference is made to the Professional Standards for Teachers, there are no mentoring
Teachers and school leaders take personal and collective responsibility for improving student learning, working as a team and learning from each other's practices. In team meetings there is an emphasis on the joint analysis of student work and on teaching strategies for improving student learning.	There is a documented professional learning plan and the school has arrangements in place for mentoring and coaching (with teacher agreement). Teachers visit each other's classrooms and welcome opportunities to have principals and other school leaders observe and discuss their work with them.	The principal and leadership team are seen as supportive of, but not generally involved in, the day-to-day practice and learning of teachers. Teachers are open to constructive feedback and provide feedback to	arrangements in place, teachers work largely in isolation from one another 'behind closed doors'). There is little evidence that school leaders are proactive in the recruitment and retention of staff.
Teachers collaboratively plan, deliver and review the effectiveness of lessons. School leaders place a very	Attention is paid to strengths and weaknesses in the school-wide team, with strategies in place, in	colleagues, although there may not be formal mentoring and coaching arrangements in place.	There is little sense of a whole-school coordinated approach to professional learning and a low priority is given to the Department's Developing Performance
high priority on the ongoing professional learning of all staff and on the development of a school-wide, self-reflective culture focused on improving classroom teaching	conjunction with Regional Office, to recruit staff with particular expertise, to train staff to address particular needs, and to support staff that find it difficult to commit to the school's improvement	The school is implementing the Department's Developing Performance Framework as the basis for professional discussions with staff.	Framework.
School leaders participate in professional learning activities, learning alongside teachers, and the school supports teachers to continue formal study and celebrates professional success.	agenda. The school provides opportunities for teachers to take on leadership roles outside the classroom.	The school's professional learning agenda is made explicit to staff at induction, and in staff handbooks. Where a managing unsatisfactory performance process is required, it is	
		performed professionally and effectively, and in accordance with the agreed Departmental	


guidelines.



learning is reported.

DATE: 28-29 OCTOBER 2014





Outstanding High Medium Low The school has a clearly The school's curriculum The school has a School leaders and teachers documented whole school delivery plan identifies the documented plan for do not appear to be familiar plan for curriculum delivery. curriculum, teaching and curriculum delivery that with the 'Roadmap' or the This plan is aligned with the learning priorities and includes year level and documents to which the requirements set out in the P-Australian Curriculum and the term plans, as specified in the 'Roadmap' refers. Queensland Curriculum
Assessment and Reporting 12 Curriculum Framework. Department's 'Roadmap', but The curriculum delivery plan the progression of learning The school may have a (QCAR) framework and reflects a shared vision from year to year is not documented plan for makes explicit what (and (principal, school leadership always obvious and the curriculum delivery but there when) teachers should teach team, and teachers) for the relationship between the is little evidence that the whole school plan drives the and students should learn. school, and provides a pieces of the plan (the year, context for delivering the term and unit plans) would lesson plans of individual The curriculum delivery plan is being implemented teachers. required curriculum as benefit from further throughout the school. detailed in the Australian clarification. Curriculum and the QCAR The enacted school School leaders talk about A strong alignment has been Framework. curriculum is not seen as a achieved between the overall embedding the fundamental central concern of all teachers (eg, it is not a regular topic of The school curriculum plan skills of literacy, numeracy curriculum delivery plan, term and curriculum delivery and higher order thinking and unit plans, classroom conversation, a focus for teaching and the regular (including the time allocated within all KLAs, but there is assessment design or a little evidence that schoolassessment of student framework against which progress in relation to particular learning) balances wide strategies are in place to student learning is reported). curriculum expectations. the system requirements to drive a consistent approach. address all KLAs in the Literacy tends to be seen as **QCAR** the responsibility of English Considerable attention has been given to ensuring Essential Learnings, to give teachers and numeracy the vertical' alignment of the responsibility of mathematics priority to English, curriculum so that there is mathematics and science and teachers. to embed the fundamental continuity and progression of learning across the years of skills of literacy, numeracy Discussions about curriculum and higher order thinking delivery tend to be sporadic school, with teaching in each year building on to and within all KLAs. and reactive with a year level extending learning in previous focus rather than being driven The school leadership team years. by a leadership team with a ensures that the enacted whole school approach. The curriculum remains a focus parameters detailed in the 'Roadmap' and the for discussion among, and collaboration between, documents referred to in the teachers and that the 'Roadmap' are rarely curriculum plan is the mentioned. reference against which flexible delivery (to meet the needs of the range of students within each year level) is designed, assessment tasks are developed and student

DATE: 28-29 OCTOBER 2014



In their day-to-day teaching, classroom teachers place a high priority on identifying and addressing the learning needs of individual students. Teachers closely monitor the progress of individuals, identify learning difficulties and tailor classroom activities to levels of readiness and need.


Outstanding High Medium Low The principal and other School leaders explicitly School leaders are committed School leaders do not place a school leaders actively encourage teachers to tailor to success for all, but do not high priority on teachers promote the use of their teaching to student drive a strong classroom identifying and addressing differentiated teaching as a needs and readiness. This agenda to assess and identify individual learning needs, but strategy for ensuring that includes the systematic use of individual learning needs or to are more focused on ensuring every student is engaged and assessment instruments differentiate teaching that all teachers are teaching according to students' needs. learning successfully. It is (standardised assessment the core year level curriculum. recognised throughout the tasks and teacher developed school that some students Some use is made of Little or no classroom use is assessment tools) to require significant establish where individuals assessment instruments to made of assessment are up to in their learning and identify individual strengths adjustments to their learning instruments to establish to identify skill gaps and programs (eg, accelerated and weaknesses and starting starting points for teaching. programs, special support) if misunderstandings. points for teaching, but this Assessments tend to be used appears to be at the initiative Teachers also are they are to be optimally only to establish summatively engaged and challenged, and encouraged to respond to of individual teachers rather how much of the taught individual learning plans have differences in cultural than a school-wide content students have learnt. been developed for a least knowledge and experiences expectation. some students. Differentiation and to cater for individual Teachers tend to teach to the is a priority of the school and differences by offering Some use is made of middle of the class, with the a feature of every teacher's multiple means of differentiated teaching (eg, expectation that some practice. representation, engagement differentiated reading groups students will not master the and expression. in the early primary years), content, and finding ways to Regular data on the but in most classes teachers occupy more able students Planning shows how the teach the same curriculum to who finish work early. achievements, progress, strengths and weaknesses of different needs of students all students with similar levels ndividual students are used are addressed, and how of individual support. Reports to parents tend to be multiple opportunities to learn summative reports of how n all classrooms to make are provided including iudgements about individual Regular assessments of students have performed, needs, to identify appropriate multiple pathways for student learning are with little guidance on what transition to external studies undertaken, but these often parents might do to assist in starting points for teaching and to personalise teaching (eq. apprenticeships) for are summative and their children's learning. and learning activities. students in Years 10-12. disconnected (eg, relating to Students' workbooks also different topics) rather than illustrate differentiated tasks exploring progress in skill and feedback. development and understanding over time. Reports to parents show progress over time and Reports to parents generally do not show progress over include suggestions for ways in which parents can support time or provide guidance to their children's learning. parents on actions they might

take.



DATE: 28-29 OCTOBER 2014





Outstanding High Medium Low The principal and other School leaders are committed School leaders are explicit School leaders do not appear to have strong views on the school leaders have accepted to continuous improvement in about their desire to see personal responsibility for teaching practices throughout effective teaching occurring characteristics of highly driving improvements in the school and expect team throughout the school, but are effective teaching. There is teaching throughout the leaders and teachers to less clear about what this little evidence that they are school. They demonstrate a identify ways of doing might look like. They do not driving an agenda to change strong conviction that this, although the principal appear to be driving a strong or enhance teaching practices improved teaching is the key and other senior leaders may agenda to improve and/or across the school. to improved student learning not themselves have clear enhance teaching practices and have clear and wellpositions on the kinds of across the school, except The principal and other school leaders spend very known positions on the kinds teaching they wish to see perhaps indirectly through a of teaching that they wish to occurring across the school or focus on school results and little time on issues related to see occurring. be 'hands on' in driving targets. School leaders take a teaching. Questions of improved teaching practices. close interest in the school's pedagogy appear to be All teachers and leaders are literacy and numeracy results, viewed solely as the There is a particular focus on but generally do not engage responsibility of teachers. committed to identifying and implementing better teaching improved teaching methods in in discussions with staff about There is little obvious schooleffective literacy and wide discussion or reading, writing, mathematics methods, and a high priority is and science, and professional numeracy teaching strategies. consideration of highly given to evidence-based teaching strategies (strategies learning activities are focused effective teaching methods. that have been demonstrated on building teachers' Discussions of specific through research and practice understandings of highly teaching practices are rare There may be a lack of to be highly effective). effective teaching strategies and generally occur only in explicitness about what the context of concerns about students are expected to in these areas. School leaders spend time a teacher's performance. know and be able to do as a working with teachers to Clarity about what students result of classroom activities, mprove their teaching are expected to learn and be There is some clarity about very little explicit teaching, practices, including modelling, able to do, high expectations what students are expected to limited attention to individual of every student's learning, learn, but a lack of balance in learning needs, or low evaluating and providing feedback on classroom explicit teaching of skills and teaching methods (eg, overexpectations on the part of teachers that all students in teaching. content, individualised reliance on whole-group the room will master the attention as required, and teaching or very little explicit timely feedback to guide teaching). content of lessons. student action are key Classroom activities elements of the school's push frequently have the for improved teaching and appearance of 'busy work'. learning.

